

\$

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C)NO. 3031 OF 2013
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.7937 OF 2012

RAJESH S. PAREKH

... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER BOMBAY
AND ORS.

... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Application for permission for oral hearing is rejected.

We have gone through the Review Petition and the connected papers. We see no reason to interfere with the order impugned. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
(H.L. DATTU)

.....J.
(SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA)

NEW DELHI;
JANUARY 28, 2014
CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 3031 OF 2013 IN C.A.NO.7937/2012

RAJESH S. PAREKH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE MUN. CORPN. OF GREATER BOMBAY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition,exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment,oral hearing and office report)

Date: 28/01/2014 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Application for oral hearing is rejected.

The Review Petition is dismissed, in terms of the signed order.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master
(signed order is placed on the file)

(Vinod Kulvi)
Asstt.Registrar