

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.12

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Criminal) No(s). 99/2015

PRADYUMAN BISHT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for interim relief and stay and office report)

Date : 21/03/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Maninder Singh,
Addl. Solicitor General, (N.P.)
Mr. A.K. Sanghi, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Nalin Kohili, Adv.
Col. R. Bala, Adv.
Mr. Ananya Mishra, Adv.

Mr. R. Venkatramani, Sr. Adv. (A.C.), Adv.
Mr. Yashraj Singh Bundela, Adv.

Petitioner-in-person.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Mohan Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In pursuance of order dated 06.02.2017,

Mr. R. Venkatramani, learned senior counsel visited Gurgaon Courts along with Mr. Maninder Singh, learned Additional Solicitor General. He seeks time to place on record his report after further consideration.

We are also of the view that the issue of conducting

proceedings by video conferencing in situations where witness or a party is at different location need also be gone into. This may help in expediting cases where proceedings are delayed on account of non-availability of a witness or a party.

Vide order dated 09.03.2017 in T.P.(C) No.1912 of 2014 "Krishna Veni Nagam Vs. Harish Nagam", this Court has issued certain directions for proceedings in matrimonial cases being conducted by video conferencing. Question of similar directions being applied to other category of cases may require consideration.

Our attention has also been drawn to the proviso to Section 275(1) of the Cr.P.C. which permits audio-video recording of evidence.

Put up for further consideration on 28.03.2017.

(SWETA DHYANI)
SR.P.A

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER