

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K. HANJURA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1) No(s).3754-3756/2010

J.M.ARUMUGAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.NADU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from
filing c/c of the impugned order)

filing O.T.,exemption from

WITH SLP(Cr1) NO. 3138-3142 of 2010

SLP(Cr1) NO. 3166-3167 of 2010

(With office report)

Date: 14/01/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing
today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Thananjayan, Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra Garg

Mr. S. Thananjayan

Mr. K.K. Mani, Adv.

Mr. C. Pragasam, Adv.

Ms. Malini Poduval, Adv.

Mr. Lalit Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Nitin Thukral, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(Cr1.)No.3166-3167/2010

Learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondent

No.1 and the respondent No.2 are present.

Item No.39

-2-

Counter affidavit has already been filed by
respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 seeks four
weeks' time to file the counter affidavit. Time, as prayed
for, is granted to him.

Service is complete as against respondent No.3 also
but nobody has appeared on his behalf. He may also file the
counter affidavit within the time provided above in case he

chooses to do so.

SLP(Crl.) No.3754-3756/2010

Learned counsel for the petitioner is present.

Nemo for the respondents.

On the next date the Registry shall prepare a complete office report in respect of each respondent in each matter as this has not been done today.

SLP(Crl.) Nos.3138-3142/2010

Learned counsel for the petitioner is present.

Affidavit in proof of dasti service has not been filed by the learned counsel for the petitioner in respect of the unserved respondents. She seeks two weeks' time to do so.

Time, as prayed for, is granted to her.

Item No.39 -3-

Learned counsel for the served respondents seeks four weeks' time to file the counter affidavit. Time, as prayed for, is granted to him.

He has further stated that the petitioner has not placed on the record of the file the copy of each F.I.R. Office shall verify the position and lay a report accordingly.

List all these matters on 28.2.2011.

(M.K.HANJURA)
REGISTRAR

rd