

**SECTION XII**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2**

(Application for Restoration of SLP for recalling  
Court's Order dated 8.10.2015)

WITH

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3**

(Application for condonation of delay in filing the  
Restoration)

AND

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4**

(Application for amendment of cause title)

IN

**PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) No. 14997 of  
2014**

WITH

**PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF**

Siddaiyan

...Petitioner

-VERSUS-

G. Pushpa & Ors.

...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the  
Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers on 8<sup>th</sup> October, 2015, when His  
Lordship was pleased to pass the following order:-

"No body appears for the  
petitioner.

By way of last chance, four  
weeks' time is granted for effecting  
service on respondent Nos. 1,2,4 and 5  
by taking fresh steps failing which the  
special leave petition shall stand  
rejected against the concerned  
respondents."

It is submitted that Counsel for the Petitioner has  
on 5<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 filed application for restoration of  
SLP and recalling Court's Order dated 8<sup>th</sup> October, 2015.

In the meantime, Mr. B. Subramanya Prasad, Counsel for the Petitioner has expired and Mr. Deepak Anand, Advocate has on 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Petitioner and on 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 filed application for amendment of cause title. He has also on 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 filed spare copies and Trial Court address. Application for restoration, condonation of delay in filing restoration and amendment of cause title have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 2, 3 and 4 respectively and copies of the same have been included in the Special Leave Petition paper books.

The applications in the matter above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers with this office report.

Dated this, the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mr. Deepak Anand, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac

SECTION XII

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) No. 14997 of  
2014

WITH

PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

Siddaiyan ...Petitioner

-VERSUS-

G. Pushpa & Ors. ...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON DEFAULT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2014, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Issue notice returnable within  
four weeks.  
Dasti, in addition, is  
permitted."**

Thereafter the matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 28<sup>th</sup> January, 2015, when the following order was passed:-

**"Fresh steps for the service of notice  
by usual mode to the unserved  
respondents shall be taken by the  
learned counsel for the petitioner  
within a period of three weeks. Dasti in  
addition is permitted to be served on  
the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 through the  
Ld. Standing Counsel representing the  
State of Tamil Nadu and on the  
respondent Nos. 1 and 2 through the  
Trial Court the particulars of which  
shall be furnished by the learned  
counsel for the petitioner within the  
period prescribed above.**

**List again on 6.4.2015."**

Lastly, the matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2015, when the following order was passed:-

**"Despite last opportunity granted to the learned Counsel for the petitioner for effecting service upon Respondent Nos. 1, 2, 4 and 5 by taking fresh steps, the same has not been taken.**

**List the matter before the Hon'ble Judge-in-chambers for further directions.**

**Await orders.**

**List thereafter."**

It is submitted that there are six Respondents in the instant matter. A.D. Cards duly signed have been received from Respondent Nos. 3 and 6.

**It is further submitted that pursuant to order dated 28<sup>th</sup> January, 2015, show cause notice could not be issued to the unserved Respondent Nos. 1, 2, 4 and 5 as the counsel for the petitioner has neither taken any fresh steps nor furnishing the particulars of the Trial Court so far.**

The Default of the Advocate for the Petitioner is reported to the Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers with this office report.

Dated this, the 6<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mr. B. Subrahmanya Prasad, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac