

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 10/2009 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6776 OF 2009

TIRUPUR DYEING FACTORY OWENERS ASS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

NOYYAL RIVER A.PROTECTION ASS. & ORS. Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for clarification of court's order 6.10.2009)

With I.A. 11 in C.A. 6776 of 2009.

Date: 25/01/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv.
Mr. Krishnamurthy Raja, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R. Padmanabhan, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

Dr. Rajiv Dhawan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Dayan Krishnan, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Narayan, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Menon, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta ,Adv
Mr. V.G. Pragasam ,Adv
Mr. R. Nedumaran ,Adv
Mr. S.S.Nehra ,Adv

2/-

2.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. 10 and I.A. 11

The applications are disposed of in terms of the
signed order.(Kanchan Jain)
A.R.(Veera Verma)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. No. 10 of 2009
in
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6776 OF 2009

Tirupur Dyeing Factory Owners Assn.

....Appellant

vs.

Noyyal River Ayacutdars Protection Assn.
and Ors.

....Respondent

With I.A. No. 11 in Civil Appeal No. 6776 of 2009

O R D E R

I.A. No. 10

The impugned orders, passed by the High Court in pending Writ Petition, were challenged before us and we had disposed of the same by our order dated 6th October, 2009.

As per our Judgment,

payments have to be made by the Petitioner Association and the Association now seeks time for payment of the amount. The Association is given three months' time to pay the amount. As the main petition is pending in the High Court, the High Court is given liberty to dispose of the petition on its merits.

We make it clear that we are making this order as part of the order passed earlier. The application is disposed of accordingly.

...2/-

2.

I.A. No. 11

The applicant would be at liberty to approach the High Court for appropriate relief. The application is disposed of.

.....CJI.
(K. G. BALAKRISHNAN)

.....J.
(DEEPAK VERMA)

.....J.
(DR. B.S. CHAUHAN)

New Delhi
January 25, 2010.