

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No. 2945/2021
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-03-2021
in CRM-M No. 3105/2021 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana
at Chandigarh)

PURNIMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR. Respondent(s)
(IA No. 49071/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT)

WITH

SLP(Cr1) No. 3358/2021 (II-B)
(IA No. 56629/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT AND IA No. 56630/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

SLP(Cr1) No. 3916/2021 (II-B)

(IA No. 66233/2022 - APPLICATION FOR VACATION OF INTERIM ORDER AND
IA No. 63835/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT)

SLP(Cr1) No. 4268/2021 (II-B)

(IA No. 68668/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT)

Date : 19-04-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Devendra Singh, AOR
Mr. Sanchar Anand, Adv.
Mr. Anant Kumar Vatsya, Adv.
Mr. Aman Kumar Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Nain, Adv.
Mr. Anmol Harna, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Sheoran, Adv.

Mr. Neeraj Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. (Dr.) Neetu Rathore, Adv.
Mr. Ronak Baid, Adv.
Mr. Suhail Malik, Adv.
Ms. Manju Jetley, AOR

Mr. Anand Dilip Landge, AOR

Mr. Deepanshu Matya, Adv.
Tusharika Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Geeta Veerma, Adv.
Mr. R. C. Kaushik, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Nupur Kumar, AOR

Mr. Rakesh Mishra, AOR
Mr. Anupam Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Alok Kumar Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Kiran Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Nishu Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the petition papers including the counter statement.

We have also taken note of the nature of allegations and the fact that this Court taking into consideration all these aspects of the matter and the role attributed to the petitioner(s) had while directing notice to the respondent on 13.04.2021, 29.04.2021, 28.06.2021 in respective petitions granted interim protection to the petitioner(s).

There is no complaint that the petitioners) have not participated in the investigation. Be that as it may, even if the charge sheet has not been filed as on today, it is for the respondent-State/Prosecuting Agency to take appropriate steps for filing the charge sheet and proceeding with the matter in accordance with law.

In that view of the matter, the interim protection granted earlier shall enure to the benefit of the petitioner(s) till the completion of the process, subject to the petitioner(s) diligently participating in the further process of the matter.

The special leave petitions are, accordingly, disposed of.
Pending application(s) shall also stand disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(DIPTI KHURANA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR