

ITEM NO.52

COURT NO.11

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 3269/2015

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30/03/2015 in CRLM No. 21263/2014 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana At Chandigarh)

DAMODAR PARSHAD BHATTAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for dismissal of SLP and exemption from filing c/copy as well as plain copy of the impugned order and impleadment as party respondent and permission to bring additional facts and documents on record and permission to file additional documents and interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 4009/2015

(With appln.(s) for bringing on record the additional facts and directions and dismissal of SLP and exemption from filing certified copy without plain copy of the impugned order and exemption from filing O.T. and impleadment as party respondent and permission to file additional documents and permission to file SLP without c/copy as well as plain copy of impugned order and withdrawal of SLP, interim relief and office report)

Date : 17/08/2016 These petitions were called on
for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Arunabh Choudhary, adv.

Mr. Jayant Mohan, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Pratap,AOR

Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan,AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shekhar Raj Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Atul Mangla, adv.

Mr. sanjeev Tayal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen,AOR

Mr. Amit Singh Chadha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Jain, adv.
Mr. Lal Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Kohli, Adv.
Mr. Umsh Pratap Singh, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(Cr1.) No. 3269 of 2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner's application for anticipatory bail was rejected by the High Court. This Court granted stay of arrest on 20.04.2015.

The learned counsel for the State submits that petitioner-company owes large amounts to 'HAFED'. The learned counsel further submits that it is necessary to investigate the matter further and discover from where the bales in question have been purchased.

We are of the view that the investigation can be carried on qua the petitioner by directing the petitioner to attend the summons of police for such investigation and to cooperate with the investigation.

Accordingly, we direct that the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh) on the condition (i) that he shall attend the police Station, Ambala for the purpose of investigation and (ii) he shall cooperate with the investigation.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

No orders are called for in the application for impleadment and the same is disposed of. All other applications stand disposed of.

SLP(Crl.) No. 4009 of 2015

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition.

The withdrawal of the petition is vehemently objected to by the respondents on the ground that settlement alleged to have been entered into by the petitioner with 'HAFED' does not exist. We see no ground to go into this aspect of the matter.

The special leave petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

All the applications stand disposed of.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Indu Pokhriyal]
Court Master