

ITEM NO.18 & 33

COURT NO.1

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8355/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 22/03/2016 in WP No. 6651/2015 passed by the High Court Of Madras)

BALU @ BALASUNDARAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRABAKARAN AND ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 9732 of 2016

(With appln. For exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and interim relief and office report)

Date : 11/04/2016 These petitions were called on
for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s)
IN SLP 9732/16

Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P.B. Suresh, Adv.
Mr. Tishampati Sen, Adv.
Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv.

In SLP 8355/16

Mr. Amit Singh Chadha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.
Ms. Srishti Govil, Adv.
Ms. Vaishnavi Subrahmanyam, Adv.
Ms. Pratiksha Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Arunava Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Dilpreet, Adv.
Mr. Sahil Mongia, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned senior counsel for the petitioners at considerable length.

We see no reason to interfere with the impugned order. The special leave petitions are accordingly dismissed. We however make it clear that the Court before whom the charge sheet, if any, is eventually filed by the investigating agency, shall remain uninfluenced by the observations made by the High Court in the impugned order. All contentions available to the parties on facts and in law shall also remain open to them.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Veena Khara]
Court Master