

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NOS. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2024  
(@ D.No. 10609 of 2024)

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NOS. 954-955 OF 2024

MANOJ S/o DEVINDER PUKALE

Petitioner-in-person

VERSUS

RAJSHRI W/o SANJAY SAWANT

Respondent

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Application for permission to appear and argue in person is rejected.
3. Application for listing Review Petition(s) in open court is rejected.
4. Having perused the review petitions, we find that there is no error apparent on the face of the record. No case for review under Order XLVII Rule 1 of the Supreme Court Rules 2013 has been established.
5. The review petitions are, therefore, dismissed

.....J.  
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

.....J.  
(ARAVIND KUMAR)

NEW DELHI;  
JULY 16, 2024.

ITEM NO.1003

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 10609/2024 in SLP(C) No. 954-955/2024

MANOJ S/o DEVINDER PUKALE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJSHRI W/o SANJAY SAWANT

Respondent(s)

IA No. 65060/2024 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT

IA No. 58814/2024 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 58815/2024 - PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON

Date : 16-07-2024 This petition was circulated today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Application for permission to appear and argue in person is rejected.
3. Application for listing Review Petition(s) in open court is rejected.
4. The Review Petition are dismissed in terms of the signed order.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAPIL TANDON)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI WASON)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed Order is placed on the file)