

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S).1225/2023

SAURABH VERMA @ SHAILENDRA VERMA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard Mr. Aarif Ali, learned counsel for the appellant. The counsel would submit that the High Court should have granted adequate opportunity to the appellant, who was challenging the verdict of the learned Trial Court before the High Court.

However, on reading the detailed judgment of the High Court, it can be seen that adequate opportunity was provided to the appellant to make good his case but he failed to adduce any material to point out any perversity in the judgment of the Trial Court.

In these circumstances, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, stand closed.

.....J.  
[ HRISHIKESH ROY ]

.....J.  
[ SANJAY KAROL ]

NEW DELHI;  
OCTOBER 30, 2023.

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.8

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s).1225/2023

SAURABH VERMA @ SHAILENDRA VERMA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

IA No. 69337/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT

IA No. 69335/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 69339/2023 - SUSPENSION OF SENTENCE)

Date : 30-10-2023 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

For Appellant(s) Mr. Aarif Ali, Adv.  
Mr. Pankaj Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Muzahid Ahmad, Adv.  
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. K Parameshwar, A.A.G.  
Mr. Yasharth Kant, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, stand closed.

(DEEPAK JOSHI)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(Signed Order is placed on the File)

(KAMLESH RAWAT)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR