



ITEM NO.31

COURT NO.1

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).3766/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29-01-2021 in CRLMP No.219/2020 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi)

RAJIV RANJAN @ RAJEEV RANJAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF JHARKHAND & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No.62182/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No.62183/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 14-07-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Uday Tiwary, Adv.
Mr. Rohit K. Singh, AOR
Mr. Pritam Bishwas, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Parthiv Goswami, Adv.
Mr. P.S. Sudheer, Adv.
Ms. Tulika Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.
Ms. Aastha Shrestha, Adv.

Mr. Vatsalya Vigya, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as also learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.2 - wife and carefully perused the material placed on record.

2. This Court while issuing notice on 29.06.2021, had directed that no coercive steps should be taken against the petitioner.

3. On 06.09.2021, at the request of learned counsel for the parties that their clients were willing to explore the possibility of arriving at an amicable settlement in respect of their matrimonial disputes through mediation, we had directed the parties to approach the Supreme Court Mediation Centre on a mutually convenient date. The Supreme Court Mediation Centre was directed to make an effort to settle the disputes between the parties and submit its Report to this Court.

4. Now, as per the Report sent by the Supreme Court Mediation Centre, the petitioner - husband and the respondent No.2 - wife have entered into a Settlement Agreement dated 30.11.2021. As per the said Settlement Agreement, a copy whereof has been annexed with the Special Leave Petition, it was amicably agreed between the parties in consultation with their respective family members and their respective counsel that they will put an end to their existing disputes and will live together as husband and wife with their minor daughter, maintaining dignity and respect for each other and their family members.

5. Today, during the course of hearing, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner - husband submits that the respondent No.2 - wife is not abiding by the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement dated 30.11.2021 and she wants to go back from the said Agreement. The said submission is, however, disputed by learned counsel for the respondent No.2 - wife who stated that his client and the minor daughter had shifted to the tenanted premises arranged by the petitioner - husband but within a short time, he started mistreating them again.

6. In view of the above stand, no settlement can be recorded. Taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner - husband has approached this Court against the order passed by the High Court of Jharkhand whereby the anticipatory bail granted to him was cancelled, we are inclined to grant anticipatory bail to him.

7. It is accordingly directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail on the terms

and conditions as may be imposed by the trial court.

8. The Special Leave Petition stands disposed of on the above terms.

9. As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)