

b
C.A.No. 548 OF 2001

ITEM No.45

Court No. 6

SECTION XVII
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No.548/2001

M/S. SUGUN PHARMA LTD., HYDERABAD

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

INDUSTRIAL INVESTMENT BANK OF INDIA LTD.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay)
(With Office Report)

Date : 26/11/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Appellant (s) Mr. K.V. Satyanarayana, Adv.
Mr. v. Sridhar Reddy, Adv.
Mrs.D. Bharathi Reddy,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Dinesh Mathur, Adv.
M/s J.B. Dadachanji & Co.,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

Leave granted.
Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(Meenu Sethi)
Court Master

(Om Prakash)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

.PA

.....L.....I.....J
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 548 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

M/s Sugun Pharma Ltd.
Hyderbad

...Appellant

Versus

Industrial Investment Bank
of India Ltd.

...Respondent

O R D E R

.SP2
.....L.....I.....J

The order made on 4.5.2000 by the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission is too cryptic as it has not set out the reasons as to why it has asked the complainant to avail of other remedies. In the original petition filed before the Commission, it ought to have applied its mind to the facts and spelt out the reasons clearly. In the circumstances, we set aside the order made by the Commission and remit the matter to the Commission for fresh disposal in accordance with law. The appeal is allowed accordingly.

.SP1
.....J
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]

.....J
[RUMA PAL]
New Delhi,
November 26, 2001.