

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 2 In Civil Appeal No.229/1999(For Preliminary Hearing)

SATYABHAMA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

UTTAM NAMDEO PATIL & ANR

Respondent (s)

(For clarification)

Date : 13/03/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant (s) Ms. Rajni K. Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. MK. Dua, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kapoor, Adv.
Mrs V.D. Khanna,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

I.A.No.2 is disposed of.

.SP1

(S. Thapar)

(V.P. Tyagi)@@

AA

PS. to Registrar

Court Master@@

AA

The signed sorder is placed on the file.

.PL56

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO.2@@
CCCCCCCC

IN@@
CC

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 229 OF 1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Satyabhama

Appellant (s)

Versus

Uttam Namdeo Patil & Anr.

Respondent (s)

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J
.SP2

By judgment dated 3rd December, 2002, Civil Appeal No. 5385 of 2001 and connected appeals including the appeal in question (Civil Appeal No.229 of 1999) were disposed of. In terms of the said decision, the judgment of this Court in Satpal's case was held to have not been correctly decided. In@@ CCCCCC that view, the present appeal ought to have been recorded as dismissed but inadvertently with the bunch of appeals, this appeal was directed to be allowed.

Allowing this application, we clarify that Civil Appeal No.229 of 1999 stands dismissed in terms of the aforesaid decision.

The I.A. is disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J
(Y.K. Sabharwal)

New Delhi,
March 13, 2003

.....J
(H.K. Sema)