

J
C.A.No. 5418-5427 OF 2001

ITEM No.2

Court No. 6

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 2-11 In Civil Appeal No.5418-5427/2001

K.E. SUHARA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD. & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(For clarification of Court's judgment dated 3.12.2002)
(With Office Report)

Date : 13/03/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant (s) Mr. Rajiv Mehta, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Piyush Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Interlocutory Applications are disposed of.

.SP1

(S. Thapar)

(V.P. Tyagi)@@

AA

PS. to Registrar

Court Master@@

AA

The signed order is placed on the file.

.PL56
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO.2-11@@
CCCCCCCCCCCC

IN@@
CC

CIVIL APPEAL NO.5418-5427 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

K.E. Suhara

Appellant (s)

Versus

National Insurance Co.Ltd. & Ors.

Respondent (s)

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

By judgment dated 3rd December, 2002, Civil Appeal No.5385 of 2001 and connected appeals including the appeals in question (Civil Appeal Nos.5418-5427 of 2001) were disposed of. In terms of the said decision, the judgment of this Court in Satpal's case was held to have not been correctly decided.@@

CCCCCCC

In that view, the present appeals ought to have been recorded as dismissed but inadvertently with the bunch of appeals, these appeals were directed to be allowed.

Allowing these applications, we clarify that Civil Appeal Nos. 5418-5427 of 2001 stand dismissed in terms of the aforesaid decision.

I.As. are disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J
(Y.K. Sabharwal)

New Delhi,
March, 13, 2003

.....J
(H.K. Sema)