

"B
SLP(C)No. 9873 OF 2000
ITEM No.1

Court No. 8

SECTION XIV
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.9873/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 10/05/2000 in FAO 256/99
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

NEW INDIA ASS. CO. LTD.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

ASHA RANI & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With appln. for directions)
(With prayer for interim relief)
(With appln. for exemption from filing O.T., C/Delay in filing SLP)
(With appln. for stay and substitution of L.Rs of the deceased
respondent and C/delay in filing substitution appln.)
(With appln. for C/Delay in filing SLP and stay and bringing on
record the Lrs. of deceased R.No.1 in SLP(C) No.6810/98
(With Office Report)
(For Final Disposal)
With

SLP(C)No.1084/1998,C.A.No.10846-10850/1996,C.A.No.1090/1999,
SLP(C)No.10938-10947/2000,SLP(C)No.12627-12638/2000,SLP(C)No.13954/2000,
SLP(C)No.14855/2000,SLP(C)No.15554-15555/2000,C.A.No.1613/1996,
C.A.No.16793-16796/1996,C.A.No.1697/1999,C.A.No.1849-1851/1997,C.A.No.229/1999,
C.A.No.272-277/1999,SLP(C)No.3263-3268/1999,C.A.No.3393-3395/1996,
SLP(C)No.3408/2001,SLP(C)No.3409/2001,C.A.No.3843/2000,C.A.No.40/1986,
SLP(C)No.4098-4122/2001,C.A.No.4458/1999,C.A.No.5010/1999,C.A.No.5051/1999,
C.A.No.521/1993,C.A.No.522/1993,C.A.No.5223/2000,C.A.No.523/1993,
C.A.No.623/1997,C.A.No.6237/1997,C.A.No.6542/1994,C.A.No.6543/1994,
C.A.No.6544/1994,C.A.No.6545/1994,SLP(C)No.6799-6815/1998,C.A.No.7877/1996,
C.A.No.950-957/1999

Date : 27/03/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.P. MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.C. BANERJEE

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Kishore Rawat,Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kapoor,Adv.
Mr. M.K. Dua,Adv.

Ms. Pankaj Bala Verma,Adv.
Ms. Kiran Suri,Adv.

Mr. P.P. Malhotra,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Shailendra Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Naresh K. Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Vineet Malhotra,Adv.
Ms. Rekha Palli,Adv.

:2:

Mr. Jitendra Sharma, Sr. Adv.
Mr. K.K. Jain, Adv.
Ms. Shashi Bhushan, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Mehta, Adv.
Mr. S. Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Shobha, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Paul, Adv.
Ms. Indira Sawhney, Adv.

Mr. Joy Basu, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Satija, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Savla, Adv.
Ms. Meenakshi, Adv.
Ms. Vrinda Dhar, Adv.
Mr. A.V. Rangam, Adv.
Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Umesh Bhagwat, Adv.
Mr. P. Gaur, Adv.
Mr. T.C. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. S.N. Bhat, Adv.
Mr. Mahabir Singh, Adv.
Mr. H.A. Raichura, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Laxmi Arvind, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Saxena, Adv.

Mr. J.R. Midha, Adv.
Mr. C.P. Pandey, Adv.

Mr. O.K. Khuller, Adv.
Mr. R.C. Kohli, Adv.

Mr. Uday Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Jaya Shrivastava, Adv.
Ms. Arpita Mahajan, Adv.

Mr. Shantha Kr. V. Mahale, Adv.

Mr. Piyush Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

Mr. N. Vyas, Adv.
Mr. Badridas Sharma, Adv.

Mr. P.K. Seth, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Sheetal Sharma, Adv.
Mr. S. Chakraborty, Adv.

:3:

Mr. H.K. Puri, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Puri, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Ujjwal Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Harpreet Singh Sandhu, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Sangal, Adv.
Mr. Nitin Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.
Mr. Vineet Kumar, Adv.
Dr. Krishan Singh Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. S. Srinivasan, Adv.
Mr. C.S. Ashri, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Javed Mahmud Rao, Adv.
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.
Mr. J.S. Attri, Adv.
Mr. Ajit Pudussery, Adv.
Mr. P. Narasimhan, Adv.
Ms. Biraj Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Uma Datta, Adv.
Ms. V.D. Khanna, Adv.
Ms. K. Sarda Devi, Adv.
Ms. B. Sunita Rao, Adv.
Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.
M/s Saharya & Co.
Ms. H. Wahni, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

L.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J
.SP2

In pursuance of our Order dated 16th February, 2001, the cases pertaining to goods carrier have been listed today. When the cases were taken up, learned counsel appearing both on behalf of the Insurance Company and the claimants, submitted that all these cases fall in three categories; 1) those cases which are covered under the old 1939 Act, 2) which are covered by 1988 Act prior to 1994 amendment and 3) those who are falling after 1994 amendment. Some of the counsel further submitted that in some of the cases apart from the law point involved the cases have certain distinguishable feature which has to be gone into apart from those legal points. In view of this, we adjourned these cases.

:4:

We are also informed that large number of other cases falling under the aforesaid categories have not been listed today which should be listed in the aforesaid three heads, may be prior to 1999. Learned counsel for the parties will give to the Registry all other cases which are falling under the said three categories within one week specifying the category number under which it falls. Each such counsel who feels that his case has a distinction apart from the legal point, will also indicate the said distinction which will help in disposing of those matters expeditiously. The Registry, after categorisation and including all those cases will then list those matters before us after two weeks.

The Registry will also, within twenty four hours inform this in writing on the Notice Board of the Bar also so

that those counsel who are not present may also do the needful
in terms of our order passed today.

SLP(C) No.9873/2000@@

CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

The application for deletion of respondent No.8 is
allowed at the risk of the petitioner.

SLP(C) Nos.4098-4122/2001@@

CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Issue notice. Stay in the meanwhile.

.SP1

(Ganga Thakur)
P.S.to Registrar

(V.P. Tyagi)
Court Master