

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.7

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.11362/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-02-2026  
in GA-COM No. 6/2025 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

JAI KUMAR GOYAL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 92847/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT

WITH

Diary No.11195/2026 (XVI)

IA No. 92276/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 92274/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT

Date : 02-04-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Navin Pahwa, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Chandra Shekhar Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, AOR  
Mr. Prem Ranjan, Adv.  
Mr. Parth Shekhar, Adv.  
Mr. Ashtitva Shrivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Pakhi Dutta Roy, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Malik, Adv.  
Mr. Rajmani Mohanty, Adv.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Badsawal, Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Rajat Sinha Roy, Adv.

Mr. Navin Pahwa, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Chandra Shekhar Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Vipul Kumar, AOR  
Mr. Manan Shishodia, Adv.  
Mr. Astitva Srivastava, Adv.  
Ms. Pakhi Dutta Roy, Adv.  
Mr. Prajwal Tiwari, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.11362/2026

1. Exemption Application(s) is allowed.
2. The petitioners-original defendants prayed for rejection of plaint essentially on three grounds i.e. (a) that the Suit is barred by limitation (b) that the plaintiffs do not have the right to sue and (c) that the plaint fails to disclose any cause of action.
3. The High Court on its original side rejected the application seeking rejection of plaint.
4. In such circumstances, the defendants are here before us.
5. These petitions are not pressed as the petitioners would like to prefer an application before the High Court with a prayer to frame preliminary issue as regards the ground of limitation.
6. With the aforesaid, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

**Diary No.11195/2026**

1. Delay condoned.
2. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner(s) and having gone through the materials on record, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court.
3. The Special Leave Petition(s) is, accordingly, dismissed.
4. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

**(CHANDRESH)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS**

**(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)**