

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.5

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

W R I T P E T I T I O N (C I V I L) N O . 5 1 O F 2 0 0 6

BACHPAN BACHAO ANDOLAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for interim directions, exemption from filing
O.T., permission to amend the directions sought in the written
submissions dated 7.4.2008 and office report)
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Date: 17/02/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Divya Jyoti, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.
Mr. Aananat Asthana, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, SG
Mr. A.K. Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Anand Varma, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.
Mr. Anubhav Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Verma, Adv.
Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.
Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.

Mrs. Jayshree Anand, AAG, Pb.
Mr. K.K. Mahalik, Ad.
Mrs. Noorjahan, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kr. Chawla, Adv.
Mr. A. Rohen Singh, Adv.

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

2

Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev K. Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Kamalendra Mishra, Adv.
Mrs. Anil Kumar Jha, Adv.

Mr. Anis Suharawardy, Adv.
Mr. S. Mehdi Imam, Adv.
Mr. T. Ahmad, Adv.

Ms. Momota Oinam, Adv.
for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.

Mr. Mohanprasad Meharia,Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi,AAG,Raj.
Mr. Devanshu Kumar Devesh,Adv.
Mr. R. Gopalakrishnan,Adv.
Mr. Milind Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.
Mr. Manish Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas,Adv.
Mr. Chandan Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Janaranjan Das,Adv.
Mr. Swetaketu Mishra,Adv.
Mr. P.P. Nayak,Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi,Adv.
Mr. Somanath Padhan,Adv.

Mr. Ashok Bhan,Adv.
Ms. Savitri Pandey,Adv.
Ms. Varuna Bhandari,Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri,Adv.
Ms. Sunita Sharma,Adv.
Ms. Gunwant Dara,Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar,Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R.,Adv.

Mr. T. Harish Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Prasanth P.,Adv.
Mr. V. Vasundevan,Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.
Mr. S.J. Aristotle,Adv.
Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian,Adv.

3

Mr. Pradeep Purohit,Adv.
Mr. B.S. Banthia,Adv.

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal,Adv.

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha,Adv.
Ms. Enatoli Sena,Adv.
Mr. Edward Belho,Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas,Adv.

Mr. Pragayan Pradip Sharma,Adv.
Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran,Adv.
Mr. R.N. Upadhya,Adv.

Mr. Anil Shrivastav,Adv.
Mr. Ritu Raj,Adv.

Ms. Suparna Srivastava,Adv.
Ms. Sudarshini Ray,Adv.
Mr. Ram Swarup Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava,Adv.

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh,Adv.

Mr. Ratan Kumar Choudhuri,Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Rustagi, Adv.
Ms. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Shamshey, Adv.
Mr. P.N. Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Amarjeet Singh Girsra, Adv.
Mr. Vimal Dubey, Adv.

for M/s. Arputham, Aruna & Co., Adv.

Mr. Shail Kumar Dwibedi, ASG
Mr. Pramod Swarup, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Indra Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Alka Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, Adv.

4

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.
Ms. Madhusmita Bora, Adv.
Mr. B.D. Vivek, Adv.

Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.
Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that Section 5 of the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986, which deals with Child Labour Technical Advisory Committee, Section 9 which deals with notice to Inspector, and Section 17 which deals with appointment of Inspectors, have not been implemented by the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India may give proper response on the next date of hearing.

The petitioner has also sought some directions. We treat the directions sought by petitioner No.1 as an interlocutory application. Let notice of this interlocutory application be given to the Union of India, all the States and the Union Territories.

It is stated that copies of this application has already been given to the Union of India, learned counsel for the States and learned counsel appearing for the Union Territories. In case any counsel has not received the copy of this application, the same may be collected from the office of Mr. Gonsalves.

5

I.A. No.8 and all other applications for intervention are allowed. Let copies of these applications be supplied to the Union of India, learned counsel for the States and learned counsel appearing for the Union Territories. They may file their reply, if any, within four weeks.

List the matter for further directions on 29th March, 2010.

Consolidated set of directions have been sought by the Union of India and the petitioner(s).

We have heard the learned Solicitor General for India and the learned counsel for the petitioner(s).

Orders reserved.

(A.S. BISHT)
COURT MASTER

(NEERU BALA VIJ)
COURT MASTER