

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5454 OF 2011

THERMAX LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

COMMR.OF CUSTOMS(IMPORT) MUMBAI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay and condonation of delay in filing appeal and permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing legible copies of dim impression and office report)

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 5455 of 2011

(With appln(s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay and condonation of delay in filing appeal and permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing better copies of dim pages and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5456 of 2011

(With appln(s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay and condonation of delay in filing appeal and permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing better copies of dim pages and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5457 of 2011

(With appln(s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay and condonation of delay in filing appeal and permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing better copies of dim pages and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5458 of 2011

(With appln(s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay and condonation of delay in filing appeal and permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing better copies of dim pages and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5459 of 2011

(With appln(s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay and condonation of delay in filing appeal and permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing better copies of dim pages and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5460 of 2011

(With appln(s) for condonation of delay in filing appeal and permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing legible copies of dim impression and office report)

Date: 23/01/2012      These      Appeals      were      called      on      for      hearing  
today.

...2/-

:2:

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Appellant(s)

Mr.      A.R. Madhav Rao, Adv.

Mr. Alok Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Krishna Rao, Adv.  
Mr. M.P. Devanath, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Despite service, no one appears for the  
respondent.

Technical delay condoned.

The appeals are admitted.

In the meantime, the respondent is  
restrained from taking any coercive steps for  
recovery of the penalty and interest amount,  
subject to the condition that the appellants  
deposit the principal amount of duty within four  
weeks.

(VINOD LAKHINA)  
Court Master

(KUSUM GULATI)  
Court Master