

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION**

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 236 of 2026

MINGI VENKATA RAMA KRISHNA KANTH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. The present Writ Petition is filed with the following prayers:

a. Issue writ/writs including a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring that the impugned Acts of the Respondents 1 to 5 in not allocating the seat of MD(General Medicine) in Respondent-5 University as arbitrary, highly irregular, illegal and against the Constitutional mandate and amounts to a constitutional transgression and also ultra vires the principle of merit and in violation of article 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and direct the Respondents 1 to 5 to reprepare the NEET PG 2025 seat allotment list and admit the Petitioner in the provisionally allotted seat as per the letter dated 6th February 2026 ; and/or

b. Issue writ/writs including a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, to quash the Information Bulletin & Counselling Scheme of NEET PG Counselling 2025 insofar as the same concerning the disqualification of candidates who failed to report in "third round" alone

from participating in the subsequent rounds per contra to the candidates who gave up their seat or failed to report in the first and second rounds as illegal, arbitrary and are violative of Articles-14,19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to conduct the stray counselling by allowing the candidates who failed to report and/or;

c. Pass any other or further order/s or directions as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the attendant facts and circumstances of the case.

2. The results of NEET Post Graduate 2025 were declared on 19.08.2025. After succeeding in the counseling, the appellant was allotted a seat on 06.02.2026 and the last date for admission was 13.02.2026. It is an admitted fact that the appellant had in fact deposited the money on 13.02.2026 but because of some technical glitch in the portal it was credited in favour of the college only on 16.02.2026. In this view of the matter, the appellant was not granted admission.

3. Heard Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, learned Additional Solicitor General on behalf of the Union and Mr. R. Jawahar Lal, learned counsel on behalf of the College. Mr. Jawahar Lal states that the deposit was made in fact on 13.02.2026 and it came to be credited on 16.02.2026. It is also an admitted fact that one seat is kept pending and is remained unfilled.

4. In view of the above, in order to do complete justice, we are of the opinion that the appellant's admission must be

confirmed for the Academic Year 2025-2026 and all other formalities must be completed within a period of two weeks from today.

5. We make it clear that this order is passed in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and this shall not be treated as a precedent.

6. With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

.....J.
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

.....J.
[ALOK ARADHE]

NEW DELHI;
FEBRUARY 23, 2026

ITEM NO.72

COURT NO.6

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 236/2026

MINGI VENKATA RAMA KRISHNA KANTH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 56738/2026 - STAY APPLICATION

Date : 23-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. C Kranthi Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Danish Saifi, Adv.
Mr. S Subramaniam, Adv.
Ms. Abida, Adv.
Mr. Rashid N. Azam, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Ms. Misha Rohatagi, AOR
Mr. Nakul Mohta, Adv.
Mr. Amulya Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Nagar, Adv.

Mr. R. Jawahar Lal, Adv.
Mr. Sayyam Maheshwari, Adv.
Ms. Meghna Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Kshirsagar, AOR

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG
Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, AOR
Ms. Shreya Jain, Adv.
Ms. Shagun Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Bajpai, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the Signed Order.

2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAPIL TANDON)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(MANOJ KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed Order is placed on the file)