

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. Nos.76-80 & 96-100  
IN  
CIVIL APPEAL NOS.2637-2641 OF 2013

SREEJA V

APPELLANT (s)

VERSUS

RAJESH PULIYANKALATH

RESPONDENT (s)

O R D E R

The appellant has already a decree in her favour under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act. Nobody has any grievance against that decree.

According to the respondent, there is a decree of divorce in his favour, but, according to the appellant, the decree of divorce is a nullity and it need not be challenged.

The only issue pending in this Court is with regard to the grant of maintenance to the appellant. More than 100 (one hundred) interim applications have been filed in this case from time to time indicating several changes in circumstances.

In view of the repeated change in circumstances, the appellant says that the maintenance granted is insufficient and the amount of maintenance should be increased and the salary of the respondent should be attached.

This is a matter that will require to be considered by the Family Court. The matter should actually be considered by the Family Court, Bandra, Mumbai. However, the appellant says that she is staying in Bangalore and the respondent used to be in Bangalore, but we are told that he has now shifted to Delhi.

Under the circumstances, we transfer this matter to the Family Court at Bangalore to consider the grant of maintenance to the appellant at the earliest.

The appeals are allowed to the aforesaid extent.

The Registry will ensure that the papers of this matter are sent to the Family Court, Bangalore which will consider the applications filed by the parties.

Liberty is granted to the appellant to file fresh application(s) before the Family Court, Bangalore.

The parties will appear before the Family Court on 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2015.

.....J.  
(MADAN B. LOKUR)

.....J.  
(S.A. BOBDE)

NEW DELHI  
AUGUST 10, 2015

ITEM NO.68

COURT NO.9

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. NOS. 76-80 & 96-100 in Civil Appeal No(s).2637-2641/2013

SREEJA V

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAJESH PULIYANKALATH

Respondent(s)

(for directions and recall and modification of order dated 3.2.2015  
in I.A.Nos.61-65 and office report)

Date: 10/08/2015 These applications were called on for hearing  
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

For Appellant(s)

Appellant-in-person

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Venkita Subramoniam T. R., AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed  
order with the following observations:

"Under the circumstances, we transfer this matter to  
the Family Court at Bangalore to consider the grant of  
maintenance to the appellant at the earliest.

The appeals are allowed to the aforesaid extent.

The Registry will ensure that the papers of this  
matter are sent to the Family Court, Bangalore which will

consider the applications filed by the parties.

Liberty is granted to the appellant to file fresh application(s) before the Family Court, Bangalore.

The parties will appear before the Family Court on 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2015."

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)  
COURT MASTER

(JASWINDER KAUR)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)