

P
CONTEMPT P.(Civil) 163-164 OF 2003
Item No. 24

Court No. 4

SECTION XVI
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CONMT. PET.(C) NO. 163-164/2003 in C.A.No.5484-5485/2000

SUDERA ENTERPRISES PVT.LTD. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

PARMANAND AGARWAL & ORS. Respondent (s)

Date : 12/09/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

FOR THE PETITIONER: Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Syed Shahid Husain Rizvi, Adv.
Mr. Amit Bhandari, Adv.
Mrs. Sarla chandra, Adv.

FOR THE RESPONDENT: Mr. K.V. Vishwanatha, Adv.
Mr. Anil Agarwalla, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Vijayakumar, Adv.

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Contempt Petition is dismissed as withdrawn
in terms of the signed order.

INDU(RADHA R. BHATIA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION(C) NO. 163-164/2003
IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5484-5485/2000

SUDERA ENTERPRISES PVT. LTD. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

PARMANAND AGARWAL & ORS.
Respondent(s)

Contemner(s)/

O R D E R

Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that recovery which was sought to be enforced by initiating this contempt proceedings is being taken care of by the High Court. Though the learned counsel for the contemnor disputes the correctness of this statement. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that as the High Court is seized of the recovery proceedings, he has instructions from the petitioner not to press the contempt petition. Keeping the question of recovery open for adjudication in the High Court, as submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Contempt Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

.....J
(R.C.LAHOTI)

.....J
(ASHOK BHAN)

NEW DELHI
DATED 12TH SEPTEMBER, 2003.