

ON-REPORTABLE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4995 OF 2016
[@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 6250 OF 2015]

nt(s) D.D.A. Appella

VERSUS

ent(s) RAMAN GROVER AND ORS. Respond

J U D G M E N T

KURIAN, J.

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant is before this Court, aggrieved by the Judgment of the High Court, whereby the

High

Court gave a declaration that the entire

land

acquisition proceedings have lapsed in view of the operation of Section 24 (2) of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition

Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

3. Sh. Amrendra Sharan, learned senior coun

sel

appearing for Delhi Development Authority (DDA), on instruction, faily concedes that as far as 1100 sq. yards of land belonging to Respondent No.

1 is

concerned, the possession has not been taken by DDA, though the award had been passed as far back as in

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
JAYANT KUMAR ARORA
Date: 2016.05.13
16:55:39 IST
Reason:

1986. In that view of the matter, Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act squarely applies in the case and the land acquisition proceedings in respect of the said

Mr. Viresh B. Saharya, Adv.
for M/s Saharya & Co.

Ms. Garima Prasad, Adv.
4

Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Monika, Adv.
Mr. Sukrit Kapoor, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. A. K. Sanghi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. R. K. Rathore, Adv.
Mr. R. S. Nagar, Adv.
Mr. Harish Khinchi, Adv.
Mr. R. R. Rajesh, Adv.
Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Bansal, AAG, Haryana
Mr. Gaurav Yadava, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.

Ms. Rohini Musa, Adv.
Mr. Zaffar Inayati, Adv.

Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mahamana, Adv.

Mr. Sumit Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Ateev Mathur, Adv.
Ms. Richa Oberoi, Adv.
Mr. A. P. S. Sehgal, Adv.
Mr. Gagan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Govind Goel, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.
Mr. Nilkamal Datta, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP (C) No. 6250 of 2015

Leave granted.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
non-reportable Judgment.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, are disposed of.

5

SLP (C) No. 30184 of 2015, SLP (C) No. 27412 of 2015, SLP (C) No.
26536 of 2015, SLP (C) No. 11460 of 2016, SLP (C) No. 11467 of 2016
SLP (C) No. 851 of 2016, SLP (C) No. 9187 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC
No. 3310 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No. 3959 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No.

5292 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No. 4265 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No.

5332 of 2016

Delay condoned.

In all these cases, it is not in dispute that the Special Leave Petitions filed by the Delhi Development Authority (DDA) challenging the Judgment of the High Court have been dismissed.

These are the petitions filed by the acquisitioning authority namely, the Government of NCT of Delhi.

Therefore, these Special Leave Petitions are dismissed.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, are disposed of.

(Jayant Kumar Arora)
Sr. P.A.

(Renu Diwan)
Court Master

(Signed non-reportable Judgment is placed on the file)