

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4995 OF 2016
[@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 6250 OF 2015]

D.D.A.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAMAN GROVER AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

J U D G M E N T

KURIAN, J.

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant is before this Court, aggrieved by the Judgment of the High Court, whereby the High Court gave a declaration that the entire land acquisition proceedings have lapsed in view of the operation of Section 24 (2) of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.
3. Sh. Amrendra Sharan, learned senior counsel appearing for Delhi Development Authority (DDA), on instruction, fairly concedes that as far as 1100 sq. yards of land belonging to Respondent No. 1 is concerned, the possession has not been taken by DDA, though the award had been passed as far back as in 1986. In that view of the matter, Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act squarely applies in the case and the land acquisition proceedings in respect of the said

extent of 1100 sq. yards belonging to Respondent No. 1 shall be deemed to have lapsed.

5. In that view of the matter, the appeal is dismissed.

No costs.

.....J.
[KURIAN JOSEPH]

.....J.
[ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN]

New Delhi;
May 10, 2016.

ITEM NO.1 + 29

COURT NO.11

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 6250/2015

D.D.A.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAMAN GROVER AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(interim relief and office report)

with

SLP (C) No. 30184 of 2015
 SLP (C) No. 27412 of 2015
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) No. 26536 of 2015
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) No. 11460 of 2016
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) No. 11467 of 2016
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) No. 851 of 2016
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) No. 9187 of 2016
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) ...CC No. 3310 of 2016
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) ...CC No. 3959 of 2016
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) ...CC No. 5292 of 2016
 (with c/dealy in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C) ...CC No. 4265 of 2016
 (With Office Report)
 SLP (C) ...CC No. 5332 of 2016
 (With Office Report)

Date : 10/05/2016 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Amrendra Sharan, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Vishnu B. Saharya, Adv.
 Mr. Viresh B. Saharya, Adv.
 for M/s Saharya & Co.

Ms. Garima Prasad, Adv.

Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Monika, Adv.
Mr. Sukrit Kapoor, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. A. K. Sanghi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. R. K. Rathore, Adv.
Mr. R. S. Nagar, Adv.
Mr. Harish Khinchi, Adv.
Mr. R. R. Rajesh, Adv.
Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Bansal, AAG, Haryana
Mr. Gaurav Yadava, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.

Ms. Rohini Musa, Adv.
Mr. Zaffar Inayati, Adv.

Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mahamana, Adv.

Mr. Sumit Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Ateev Mathur, Adv.
Ms. Richa Oberoi, Adv.
Mr. A. P. S. Sehgal, Adv.
Mr. Gagan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Govind Goel, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.
Mr. Nilkamal Datta, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP (C) No. 6250 of 2015

Leave granted.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
non-reportable Judgment.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, are disposed of.

SLP (C) No. 30184 of 2015, SLP (C) No. 27412 of 2015, SLP (C) No. 26536 of 2015, SLP (C) No. 11460 of 2016, SLP (C) No. 11467 of 2016 SLP (C) No. 851 of 2016, SLP (C) No. 9187 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No. 3310 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No. 3959 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No. 5292 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No. 4265 of 2016, SLP (C) ...CC No. 5332 of 2016

Delay condoned.

In all these cases, it is not in dispute that the Special Leave Petitions filed by the Delhi Development Authority (DDA) challenging the Judgment of the High Court have been dismissed. These are the petitions filed by the acquisitioning authority namely, the Government of NCT of Delhi.

Therefore, these Special Leave Petitions are dismissed.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, are disposed of.

(Jayant Kumar Arora)
Sr. P.A.

(Renu Diwan)
Court Master

(Signed non-reportable Judgment is placed on the file)