

ITEM NO.16

COURT NO.6

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.32452-32453/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09/10/2013 in WPC No. 4924/2013,09/10/2013 in CMA No.14098/2013 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi)

KALKAJI MANDIR VIKRETA SANGATHAN-II & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PIYUSH JOSHI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for exemption from filing O.T. and intervention and placing addl. facts and documents on record and interim relief and office report)

WITH S.L.P. (C) No.32845/2013

(With interim relief and office report)

S.L.P. (C)...CC 20364/2014

(With appln.(s) for permission to file SLP and Office Report)

Date: 20/01/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sonal Jain, AOR
Mr. Rajiv M. Brahma, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. P.S. Narasimha, ASG
Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv.
Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.
Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.
Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR
Mr. D. S. Mahra, AOR

Mr. M.N. Krishnamani, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, AOR
Mr. Prabhash, Adv.

Applicant-in-person

Mr. B. Ramanamurthy, Adv.
Mr. S. Sasi Bhusan, Adv.

Mr. V. Sridhar Reddy, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, AOR

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, AOR
Mr. Basant Kumar Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Sinha, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. Anoop Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Balraj Dewan, AOR

Mr. Praveen Saroop, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Prabhat Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Har Saroop Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Kush Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Roopamdeep Sahni, Adv.

Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, AOR

Mr. B. Ramana Murthy, AOR

Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. K. S. Rana, AOR

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, AOR

Mr. Rajinder Mathur, AOR

Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, AOR
Mr. Ramesh Kumar Mishra, Adv.

Mr. R.K. Bhardwaj, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Dheeraj Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Satyendra Kumar, AOR

Ms. Binu Tamta, AOR

Mr. Amit Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sarwa Mitter, Adv.
for M/s Mitter & Mitter Co.

Respondent-in-person

Mr. Sarvesh Bhardwaj, Intervenor-in-person

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. P.S. Narasimha, learned Additional Solicitor General, has filed the draft scheme for management of the petitioner-temple. The persons who are aggrieved and impleaded in these cases are at liberty to give their suggestions by way of affidavits. Anyone, who shall give the suggestion shall indicate what right he claims in respect of the property situate within the premises of "Kalkaji Temple". The respondents and the impleaded parties, as well as the petitioner, shall not construe that the present special leave petition is adversarial, for the singular purpose is to see that the Kalkaji Temple is properly managed; that the properties, which are situate within the premises, are taken care of; that the rights relating to offerings and to do seva, is regulated; that Teh Bazari or licence fees during the period starting from Sudhi Ekadashi ending on Sudhi Dashami, as per the Vikram Samvat (Hindi Calendar), is scrutinized for the purpose of regulating the same, as it is felt that a regulatory measure is necessitous. Quite apart from the said period, it is also requisite to be seen how the seva of the Deity is performed and the articles meant for worship of Deity are sold during the entire year.

As an interim measure, it is directed that none of the Archaks/Pujaris/Sevaks or anyone, who are claiming the ownership, shall alienate the property, which is the subject

matter of the special leave petition. To elaborate, any alienation made by anyone involved in the petitioner-temple, will be treated as an effort to overreach the orders of the Court.

Let the matter be listed on 31st March, 2015.

(Chetan Kumar)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master