

SECTION XIV
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 32452-453/2013

WITH

(PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.3

(Application for intervention filed by Mr. Harish Bhardwaj applicant in-person in SLP(C) No. 32452-53/2013)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.38-39

(Application for impleadment filed by Mr. Narender Kumar Verma, Advocate in SLP(C) No. 32452-53/2013)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.40-41

(Application for impleadment filed by Mr. R.P. Goyal, Advocate in SLP(C) No. 32452-53/2013)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.42-43

(Application for impleadment filed by Mr. P. Narsimahan, Advocate in SLP(C) No. 32452-53/2013)

AND

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NO. 32845/2013 AND CC NO. 20364/2014

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.3

(Application for permission to file additional documents in SLP(C) No. 32845/2013)

Kalkaji Mandir Vikreta Sangathan-II

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Mr. Piyush Joshi & Ors.

..Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matters alongwith applications above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 17.09.2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"At the very beginning, it was submitted by Ms. Pinky Anand, learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the NCT of Delhi, that the State Legislature intends to bring a legislation relating to the management of Kalkaji temple. We do not intend to say anything on the said measure.

Ordinarily, we would have adjourned the matter to another date straightaway but, at this juncture, Dr. Dhavan, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent no.19 and Mr. Bhardwaj, learned senior counsel, appearing for the respondent no.3 submitted that the hygienic condition outside the sanctum santorum is atrocious, for no one has ever bothered to keep the area clean. A temple or any religious institution must not only stand for purity and sanctity but must also exhibit cleanliness. As submitted by Dr. Dhavan and Mr. Bhardwaj, learned

senior counsel, the area in vicinity of Kalkaji temple and some part of the temple except the sanctum sanctorum is really unclean and some attention has to be given.

Regard being had to the aforesaid submission, we direct the Commissioner of South Delhi Municipal Corporation to depute a competent officer along with the Sanitary Inspectors to visit the area and submit a report to this Court. When we direct for submission of a report, definitely it includes giving suggestions in that regard. Needless to say, the suggestions would not touch anything with regard unauthorised occupation or illegal occupation as that is being debated in the Court.

Ms. Pinky Anand, learned Additional Solicitor General is requested to send a copy of this order to the concerned Commissioner so that he can take appropriate steps as directed hereinabove and submit the report to this Court within ten days hence.

Let the matter be listed on 06.10.2015. On that day, the report of the Commissioner shall be considered and appropriate directions shall be issued only pertaining to maintenance of hygiene and cleanliness."

It is submitted that the aforesaid Order was communicated to the Commissioner, South Delhi Municipal Corporation vide this Registry letter dated 18.09.2015 by special messenger. Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate appearing for South Delhi Municipal Corporation has on 03.10.2015 filed Inspection Report without serving the same on other side except Union of India. However, the same is being circulated herewith. The counsel appearing for Union of India has not filed any report so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Advocate appearing for respondent Nos. 23 to 30 has on 01.10.2015 filed application for direction without serving the same on other side. However, the unregistered applications is being circulated herewith.

Service is already complete in SLP (C) Nos. 32452-32453/2013 & SLP (C) No. 32845/2013 and CC No. 20364/2014 is a fresh matter.

The matters alongwith applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

DATED THIS THE 05th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv. Ch. No. 108
2. Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv. Ch. No. 222
3. Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv. Ch. No. 136
4. Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Applicant-in person
359/1, Chirag Delhi, New Delhi-110017
5. M/s. Mitter and Mitter Co., Advs. Ch. No. 21
6. Mr. Sarvesh Bhardwaj, Applicant-in-person
755, Chirag Delhi, New Delhi-110017
7. Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv. Ch. No. 242
8. Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv. Ch. No. 331
10. Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Adv. Ch. No. 315
11. Ms. Sonal Jain, Adv.,
C-23A, Sector-14, Noida-201301 (U.P)
12. Mr. D. S. mahra, Adv. Ch. No.- Centre Agency
13. Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv. Ch. No. - Centre Agency
14. Ms. Sunita Hazarika, Adv. Ch. No. 235
15. Mr. Ramamurthy, Adv. Ch. No. Library - II
16. Mr. K.S. Rana, Adv. Ch. No. 106
17. Mr. Rajender Mathur, Adv. Ch. No. 129
18. Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, Adv. Ch. No.
19. Mr. Abhijit Sen Gupta, Adv. Ch. No. 225
20. Dr. Subramanium Swamy, Applicant-in-person
A-77, Nizamudin east, New Delhi - 110003E
21. Mr. Narender Kumar Verma, Adv. Ch. No.
22. Mr. R.P. Goyal, Adv.
23. Mr. P. Narsimahan, Adv.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

p-2/Avi