

ITEM NO.119

COURT NO.6

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 521 OF 2005

M.G.SINGARAVELU

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE REP.BY DY. SUPDT. OF POLICE & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 11/01/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. G.Balaji, Adv.for
M/S.Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.

For Respondent(s) Mr. R.Balasubramaniam, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Promila, Adv.
Mr. S. Thananjayan,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.521 OF 2005

M.G. Singaravelu

..Appellant

versus

State Rep. By Dr. Supdt. Of Police & Anr.

..Respondents

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned
judgment and order dated 25th November, 2003 passed by the
High Court of Judicature at Madras in Crl.O.P. No.28474 of

2003.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

The High Court in para 19 of the impugned judgment has observed that since the goods in question have been lost, the appellant can only claim compensation by filing a suit for damages.

We agree with the aforesaid observation of the High Court that the appellant can claim compensation by filing a suit for damages. If he does so, the same shall be decided expeditiously, in accordance with law, uninfluenced by any observations made in the impugned order.

The Appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;J.
JANUARY 11, 2011 [GYAN SUDHA MISRA]