

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR ANIL LAXMAN PANSARE

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8053/2019

THE CHAIRPERSON GOVERNING BODY DAULAT RAM COLLEGE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DR. ASHA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 07-08-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Veera Mahuli, Adv.
Ms. Divya Kapur, Adv.
Mr. Satya Mitra, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rajesh Banati, Adv.
Mr. Shailendra Bhardwaj, AOR
Mr. Mohinder Jit Singh, AOR
Ms. Pratima Nain Lakra, AOR
Mr. Abhishek Thakral, Adv.
Mrs. Pragya Baghel, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Respondent Nos.1 and 3 have filed counter affidavit.

Ld. Counsel for respondent No.4 submits that he will file counter affidavit during the course of the day. Be filed.

Respondent No.2 has not filed counter affidavit till date.

On 8.7.2019, the following order was passed -

"Respondent No.1 has filed counter affidavit.

Service is complete on respondent No.2 and 4. Ld. Advocate, Mr. Prang Newmai, appearing on behalf of Mr.Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Advocate-on-record appears for respondent No.2 and Ld. Advocate, Mr. Abhishek Thakral, appearing on behalf of Ms.Pragya Baghel, Advocate-on-record appears for respondent No.4 respectively. They seek time to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit. Be filed within four weeks. Ld. Counsel for respondent No.4 requests for copy of pleadings. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that copy of pleadings will be served within one week.

Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No.3 is found to be defective. Defects be cured within two weeks. Ld. Counsel for respondent No.3 submits that there is urgency in the matter. However, the matter cannot be listed unless and until it is completed in terms of rules.

Ld. Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 4 to co-operate and to file counter affidavit within four weeks."

Ld. Counsel for respondent No.3 and Ld. Counsel for the petitioner would urge to list the matter before the Hon'ble Court as according to them there is urgency. Similar such plea was made by Ld. Counsel for respondent No.3 on previous date. Respondent Nos.2 and 4, though were directed to co-operate and file counter affidavit within four weeks, have not filed counter affidavit till date. As stated earlier, Ld. Counsel for respondent No.4 undertakes to file counter affidavit during the course of the day.

So far as respondent No.2 is concerned, none appeared when repeatedly called. There is neither written application for extension of time to file pleadings in terms of Order V Rule 1(22), Supreme Court Rules, 2013 nor is there oral request to that effect. It appears that respondent No.2 is not willing to file counter affidavit and, therefore, opportunity to file counter affidavit stands declined.

Registry to process the matter for listing before the Hon'ble Court whether counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.4 is filed or not today as subsequent opportunity stands declined.

ANIL LAXMAN PANSARE
Registrar