

ITEM NO.1001

SECTION XVII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 10517/2020 in C.A. No. 1134
of 2020

SRI THIPPA REDDY S

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SREEDEVI COLD STORAGE & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 47325/2020 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN
OPEN COURT

IA No. 47319/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION

IA No. 47335/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING PAPER BOOKS)

Date : 06-12-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Permission to file the review petition in the open court is rejected.

The review petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

(NEELAM GULATI)

ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(Signed order is placed on the file)

(KAMLESH RAWAT)

COURT MASTER (NSH)

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). 2022
(Arising out of Diary No.10517 of 2020)**

**IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.1134 OF 2020
(Arising out of C.A. Nos. 1134-1203 of 2020)**

SRI THIPPA REDDY S

... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SREEDEVI COLD STORAGE AND ORS.

... RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

1. Delay condoned.
2. Permission to file the review petition in the open court is rejected.
3. We have gone through the review petition and the connected papers filed therewith. We are convinced that the order of which review has been sought, does not suffer from any error apparent warranting its reconsideration.
4. The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
(S. ABDUL NAZEER)

.....J.
(M.R. SHAH)

New Delhi;
December 06, 2022