



appearing for the respondent No. 1 in caveat has stated his opposition to the prayers as made on behalf of the petitioners and has *inter alia* contended that this petition is a gross abuse of the process of Court where, in the name of widow/illiterate ladies, the petition is sought to be prosecuted by a so-called power of attorney holder who has filed his affidavit in support of his petition.

It is noticed that the document of the so-called power of attorney is not on record. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per his understanding, the power of attorney has been filed separately in the office.

Be that as it may, even if it be assumed that there is a power of attorney executed by the petitioners, it is apparent that this litigation is sought to be prosecuted by some other person and not by the named petitioners and then, this petition is filed nearly six years after passing of the order by the High Court.

In any event, in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, we find no reason to entertain this petition or any other prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

This petition seeking special leave to appeal stands dismissed on the ground of delay as also on merits.

The prayer for withdrawal stands rejected.

All pending applications stand disposed of.

(SHRADDHA MISHRA)  
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(RANJANA SHAILEY)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)