

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.4

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

No(s). 12423/2015

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10/03/2015
in CP No. 149/2015 passed by the High Court of Bombay)

GAURAV DHAMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHARU PRAGYA

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for directions, and office report)

Date : 12/06/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY
[Vacation Bench]

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Geeta Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jai Bansal, Adv.
Mr. C. D. Singh, AOR
Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Devadatt Kamat, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Inamdar, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Talukdar, AORUPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Pursuant to our order dated 9th June, 2015, we met the child Aryaman in Chambers on 11th June, 2015 and had a talk with the child. The child showed his disinclination and reservation from meeting with his father, petitioner

Signature Not Verified

herein. The divorce was granted on a compromise entered

Digitally signed by
Sanjay Kumar
Date: 2015.06.12
15:46:06 IST
Reason:

into between the parties and one such term was that the visitation right is available to the present petitioner

2

depending upon the comfort and convenience of Aryaman (child). As Aryaman has shown his disinclination and

reservation from meeting the present petitioner, we do not deem fit and proper to permit the petitioner to visit the child during vacation. As the matter is coming on 3 rd July, 2015, these aspects will be considered on 3 rd July, 2015.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER