

À"

SLP(C)No. 7603-7609 OF 2001

ITEM No.5

Court No. 2

SECTION IVB
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.7603-7609/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 17/01/2001 in CWP 11200/99, 12697/99, 13572/99, 14096/99, 5065 and 9057 of 2000 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF HARYANA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

VATSAL VASHISHT & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(For impleadment as party respondent and vacation/modification of order dt. 21.9.2001 and 19.10.2001 and impleadment.

Date : 02/09/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAİK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Petitioner (s) Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. J.P. Dhanda, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jasbir S. Malik, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Sabbarwal, Adv.

Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, Adv.

Dr. Krishan Singh Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Chand Kiran, Mr. GR Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Pardeep Gupta, Adv.
Mr. K.K. Mohan, Mr. Kaushal Yadav,
Mr. CM Kennedy, Adv.
M/s. Brijender Chahar, Jyoti Chahar and
Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta, Adv.

In IA 47-60 Mr. R K Jain, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rakesh K. Khanna, Mr. Reetesh Singh,
Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Ms. Shilpa Chohan,
Mr. Rajesh Singh, Mrs. Lalitha Kaushik, Adv.

...2

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

IA Nos. 47-53@@
CCCCCCCCCCCC

These are the applications for being impleaded inter alia on the ground that though these applicants have been selected pursuant to a later advertisement issued by the Public Service Commission, but they are not being appointed because of the order of this Court dated 21.9.2001, by which order the State was enjoined from making any appointment to@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
the posts in question. Prayer for impleadment is allowed.@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

IA Nos. 54-60@@
CCCCCCCCCCCC

These applications are by the same impleaded persons seeking clarification from the Court that the injunction order relates to the posts which were the subject matter of dispute and not to the posts which became vacant later on and which are sought to be filled up pursuant to a later advertisement in respect of which selection has been made. The order of this Court dated 21.9.2001 is clear, that the order of injunction relates to the posts in dispute on that particular date and not to any subsequent date which are sought to be filled up through any process of recruitment pursuant to any subsequent advertisement. These applications accordingly stand disposed of.

This order of ours is without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties.

IA Nos. 61-67@@
CCCCCCCCCCCC

These applications are identical to IA Nos. 54-60. These applications also stand disposed of in terms of the aforesaid order.

.SP1

(J.S. Rawat)
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)
Assistant Registrar