

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 595/2013

MAHENDRA SINGH PANWAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN &amp; ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for directions and office report)

WITH W.P.(C) No. 537/2013

(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 751/2013

(With appln.(s) for directions and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 21084/2014

(With Office Report)

Date : 13/10/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Shobha,Adv.

Ms. Jyoti Rana,Adv.

Mr. Ritesh Agrawal,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ranjit Kumar,SG

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji,Adv.

Mrs. Bihu Sharma,Adv.

Ms. Purnima Krishna,Adv.

Mr. M.R. Calla,Sr.Adv.

Ms. Pratiksha Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Dave,Adv.

Mr. Ankit Acharya,Adv.

Mr. Mohan Pandey,Adv.

Mr. Surya Kant,Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Gupta,Adv.

Mr. Ajat Shatru Meena,Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar Aggarwal,Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain,Adv.

Mr. U.P. Singh,Adv.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma,AAG

Ms. Anjali Chauhan,Adv.

Mr. Vivek Singh,Adv.

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.21084/2014

In the light of the orders passed in SLP(C)....CC No.13278/2014, the present special leave petition i.e. SLP(C) No.21084/2014 stands dismissed.

W.P. (C) NOS.595, 537 & 751 OF 2013

The petitioners seek to challenge the select list dated 25.5.2013, by which respondent Nos.4 to 21 came to be appointed by orders dated 15.7.2013 and by posting order dated 16.7.2013 in the Higher Judicial Service, Rajasthan and also seek for a direction for preparing a fresh final Select List while challenging Rule 41 and Schedule IX (renumbered as VII) of the Rajasthan Judicial Service Rules, 2010 as unconstitutional to the extent it has been amended vide Notification dated 10.6.2011 and thereby introduced the provision of minimum/cut off marks for interview.

In the light of the fact that the petitioners participated in the selection and were unsuccessful, following the order dated 25.08.2014 in SLP(C)....CC No.13278/2014, we are not inclined to examine the challenge made to the Select List dated 25.5.2013 and the consequent Appointment Orders issued as well as issuance of mandamus to prepare a fresh list.

Therefore, to that extent the writ petitions shall stand dismissed. The writ petitions, however, shall remain pending insofar as it related to the challenge to Rule 41 and Schedule IX etc.

Tag with W.P. (C) No.471 of 2009.

(NARENDRA PRASAD)  
COURT MASTER

(SHARDA KAPOOR)  
COURT MASTER