

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 96 OF 2010

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

RAMU SHARMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF M.P.TR.REGR.GEN.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and office report )

- WITH
- WP(C) NO.179/2011
- WP(C) NO.196/2011
- WP(C) NO.224/2011
- WP(C) NO.236/2011
- WP(C) NO.225/2011

Date: 27/04/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

- Mrs Shiel Sethi, Adv.
- Dr Sushil Balwada, Adv.
- Mr R.C.Kaushik, Adv.
- Mr Aftab Ali Khan, Adv.
- Ms Shilpa Singh, Adv.
- Mr Ajay K Agrawal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

- Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.
- Mr M.P.Singh, Adv.
- Mr C.D.Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Issue fresh notice with dasti service on unserved respondents in all concerned writ petitions. Dasti notices are permitted to be served through the nearest Civil Court/Trial Court or even through the High Court considering the fact that unserved respondents are serving as Judicial Officers in the State of Madhya Pradesh, if process fee and

Item No.8

spare copies are paid before 7.5.2012 else list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

Registry has to forward letters as per Circular dated 19.1.2012 to the ld. Registrar General of the High Court for

further communicating the same to the concerned District Court where respondent Nos. 3 and 4 are serving.

However, petitioner has to chase all such process thereby petitioner has to take dasti notices from the registry and petitioner has to consult the ld. Registrar General of the State of Madhya Pradesh for confirming service upon unserved respondents.

Process Server of the concerned District Court is specifically directed to confirm service even by affixing the same at the known address of the unserved respondents and to file proper affidavit as per Code of Civil Procedure.

If notices are issued, list again on 18.7.2012.

(S.G.SHAH)  
REGISTRAR

hj