

Ü

SLP(C)No. 15492 OF 2000

ITEM No.24

Court No. 8

SECTION XI  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.15492/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 22/02/2000 in SA 1045/99  
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

REENA SRIVASTAVA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

DY. DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION-II & ORS.

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for for c/delay in refiling SLP )  
( With prayer for interim relief )

Date : 31/08/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. PHUKAN

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Ravi Prakash Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Rashmi Jain, Adv.  
Mr. SK. Chauhan, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Ajay K. Agrawal.,Adv.  
Mr. Chetan Siddarth, Adv.  
Mr. Devvrat Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. RK. Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. NK. Vohra, Adv. for  
Mr. K.K. Gupta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
Delay is condoned.

Though we are not inclined to interfere with the order passed by the High Court, yet the fact remains that the petitioner had the protection of the interim order of the High Court which was passed on 13.7.95 and she worked during that period till 2.2.96 when the concerned authority decided the claim of the petitioner. Inasmuch as this fact was not adverted to in deciding her claim to salary, we are of the view that she is entitled to her salary for the said period. The authority may deduct the amount of the salary for the said period from the grant-in-aid admissible to the Institution and pay the same to the petitioner. Subject to the above observations, the Special leave Petition is dismissed.

(S.Thapar)

(Kanwal Singh)@

AA

PS to Registrar

Court Master@

AA

AAAAAAAAAAAAA