

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.11732/2000  
(From the judgement and order dated 18/02/2000 in CW 4354/93  
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

DELHI HIGH COURT THROUGH REGISTRAR

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

MAHINDER PAL & ORS.  
(With prayer for interim relief)  
(For Final Disposal)

Respondent (s)

Date : 03/11/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR

For Petitioner (s) Mr. A Mariarputham, adv.  
Mrs. Aruna Mathur, adv.  
M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co., advs.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. adv.  
Mr. Rajinder Mathur, adv.  
  
Mr. T L V Iyer, Sr. adv.  
Mr. A K Kaul, adv.  
Mr. B V Balram Das, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

We have examined the record carefully and have heard elaborate arguments from learned counsel for the parties.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order. The special leave petition is, therefore, dismissed.

...2/-

2.

We, however, clarify that the order of dismissal of special leave petition shall not be construed as our having expressed any opinion on the question of law involved, which we leave open. Further we wish to observe that this case has been decided on its own peculiar facts and shall not be treated as a precedent.

.SP1

(Kanchan Jain)  
AR-cum-PS

(Prem Prakash)  
Court Master