

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.22211/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 17/10/2000 in WP 4900/99  
of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT AURANGABAD)

GOPALRAO

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

BAR COUNCIL OF MAHARASTHRA & GOA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)  
( With Appln(s). for exemption from filing O.T. )

Date : 28/01/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR

For Petitioner (s) Petitioner-In-Person

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

Heard the petitioner appearing in person.

Inasmuch as the proceedings now stand transferred to the Bar Council of India, a major part of grievances of the petitioner, which was against the State Bar Council, naturally comes to an end. However, on 02.12.2000, the Bar Council of India has imposed an adjournment cost of Rs.6,000/- on the petitioner. The petitioner has prayed for the amount of costs being waived. For this much relief alone, we are not inclined to entertain the special leave ...2/-

: 2 :

petition. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case, we expect the Bar Council of India to consider the petitioner's prayer, which he has already made to the Bar Council of India by filing an application before it, with sympathy and waive the costs if it feels satisfied to do so. Other prayers made in the application are also before the Bar Council and we have no reason to assume why the Bar

Council of India shall not reasonably consider the same.

Subject to the above said observations, the special leave petition is dismissed.

.SP1

(Neena Verma)  
Court Master

(Radha Rani Bhatia)  
Court Master