

D
SLP(C)No. 20685-20689 OF 2001
ITEM No.201

Court No. 6

SECTION XIIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.20685-20689/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 13/12/1999 in AN 1664/81 AN 1665/81, AN 1666/81,AN 1667/81,AN 1668/81 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

TAHSILDAR, VISAKHAPATANAM, AP

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

M. RAMANAMMA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

With

SLP(C)No.16397-16399/2002

(With appln.for c/delay in filing SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office report) (F or final disposal)

WITH SLP(C) 16397-16399/2002

(With appln.for c/delay in filing SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office report) (F or final disposal)

Date : 12/09/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Petitioner (s)Mr. Guntur Prabhakar,Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. Harish N Salve, Sr. Adv.

SLP(C) 16397-399/02Mr. Vilas A Afzulpurkar, Adv.
Mrs. Anuradha Rustagi, Adv.

Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.

SLP(C) 20685-86/01Mr. TR Andhyarujina, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Vilas A Afzulpurkar, Adv.

Mrs. Anuradha Rustagi, Adv.

Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv.

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel appearing on either side.

We are not persuaded to agree with the submission that any patent error of law or misdirection as to essential facts have been shown to vitiate the judgment of the High Court. There is no merit in the grievance sought to be ventilated for the petitioners. Thus, the special leave petitions shall stand dismissed.

Sukhwinder (Vijay Aggarwal)
Court Master