

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the funds for constructions of the house came from his sources as well as from his parents as both he and his father were employed abroad at the relevant time. Learned counsel has further drawn our attention to the judgment of the family Court to contend that the differences between the parties arose when the second child was born at the time when the petitioner was abroad and the DNA test conducted showed that the petitioner was not the father of the child.

Issue notice.

In the meantime, the operation of the impugned orders dated 18.10.2019 in Mat. Appeal No.5 of 2013 and dated 15.10.2012 in O.A. No.78/2011 are stayed.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
AR-CUM-PS

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR