

ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.8

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C).....CC
10722-10725/2014

No(s).

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20/12/2013
in RP No. 295/2008,RP No. 294/2010, RP No. 296/2008, RP No. 227/2008
in W.P. No. 744/2005 passed by the High Court Of Judicature at
Allahabad, Lucknow Bench)

DEVI RAM & ORS. ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS. ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With c/delay in filing slp)

Date : 08/09/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Prabhu Patil, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anurag Kishore,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Meenu Juneja, Adv.
Ms. Suresh Kumari, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Heard Mr. Patil, learned senior counsel for

the

petitioners and Mr. Mukerjee, learned counsel for

the

respondent. On a perusal of the order impugned, it is
noticed that the High Court has restored the writ petition
for hearing it afresh. Mr. Patil submitted that the HighSignature Not Verified
Digitally signed by

Naveen Kumar

Date: 2014.09.09

17:17:05 IST

Court, in course of review, has made certain observations

Reason:

and it might be referred to while dealing with the writ
petition.

2

Regard being had to the aforesaid apprehension, we
observe that the High Court shall decide the writ petition
on its own merits without being influenced by any
observation made by it in the order of review.The special leave petitions are, accordingly, disposed
of.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)

(RENUKA SADANA)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER