

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 9551/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-03-2022
in MAT No. 143/2022 passed by the High Court At Calcutta)

UTTAM KUMAR BHAKAT & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KISHORE SAHA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 14-07-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNAFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Pijush K. Roy, Adv.
Ms. Kakali Roy, Adv.
Mr. Tushar Alok, Adv.
Ms. Indrani Dey, Adv.
Mr. Rajan K. Chourasia, AORFor Respondent(s) Mr. Santi Ranjan Das, Adv.
Mr. N.I. Khan, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AORUPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Pijush K. Roy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. By the impugned order, as such, the High Court has directed the STA to take an appropriate decision considering the applications of all the parties, based on the terms of the Notification dated 07.08.2012, as clarified by Order dated 25.02.2019 of the Transport Department, defining a new vehicle to be BS-III norms compliant and such vehicle being otherwise eligible for applying for a permit without the requirement of being registered as a vehicle purchased straightaway from the

manufacturer.

In that view of the matter, no interference of this Court is called for. If at all the petitioners are of the opinion that any permit is granted *de hors* the directions issued by the High Court and, more particularly, in view of the notifications and the order, as above, it will always be open for the petitioners to challenge the same before the appropriate forum. However, no fault can be found with the impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court.

The Special Leave Petition stands dismissed.

(R. NATARAJAN)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NISHA TRIPATHI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR