

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA Nos. 2 & 3 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3373 OF 2001

VASUDEVA SHET

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

PUNDALIK SHET & ORS

Respondent(s)

(For substitution and for c/delay in filing the substitution and setting aside abatement and office report)

Date: 04/04/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Naveen R Nath, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Kumar Suri, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.N. Bhat, Adv.

Nos. 2-8

Nos, 1 & 10

Mr. Mahabir Singh ,Adv

Ms. Madhusmita Bora, Adv.

Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

In spite of service of notice, the legal representative
s of deceased
respondent No. 12 have not entered appearance to contest the pra
yer for
substitution. In the facts and circumstances of the case delay in
filing

sed substitution is condoned and the abatement is set aside. Let the heirs of deca
respondent No. 12 be substituted in his place.

Issue appeal notice to the substituted heirs.

sh] [Charanjeet Kaur]

ter Court Master

[Om Praka

Court Mas