

~
C.A.No. 10605 OF 1996
ITEM NO. 102COURT NO.3SECTION IV

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.10605/1996

CHHAJU RAM & ORS...Appellant(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS. ..Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH CA NO.10606/1996 (with office report)

Date : 27/08/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

For the Appellant(s)
for Appellant No.1Mr.Mahinder Singh Dahiya,Adv. (N/P)
for Appellant Nos.2-5 Mr.Rishi Malhotra,Adv.for
Mr.H.A. Raichura,Adv.

For the Respondent (s)
Mr.Ranbir Yadav,Adv.for
Mr.R.S. Suri,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel, the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeals are dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(Vijay Kumar Sharma)(Janki Bhatia)
AR cum PS to Hon.Judge Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.10605 OF 1996

CHHAJU RAM & ORS.?.APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.?RESPONDENTS
WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO.10606 OF 1996

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Appellants had filed writ petition in 1993 contending that they were entitled to selection grade from 1.1.1978 to 31.12.1985. That writ petition was dismissed by the High Court relying up on its previous judgment dealing with the same subject. Review Application filed against the said Judgment and Order was also dismissed by the High Court. Further the claim made by the appellants was apparently stale claim and the Court has rightly not entertained the writ petition.

Hence, the appeals are dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

??..???????J.

(M.B. Shah)

?????????J.

(Dr. AR.Lakshmanan)

New Delhi,

August 27,2003

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.7756 OF 1996

HARI PRASAD SINGH & ORS.?..APPELLANTS

VERSUS

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, GONDA & ORS.?RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This appeal is filed against the judgment and order dated 27th March, 1991 passed by a single Judge of the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow in Writ Petition No .1360/1988.

We have considered the counter affidavit filed by the respondents before the High Court wherein it has been specifically stated that none of the candidates was posted anywhere and as such question of their joining in pursuance of the appointment letter did not arise. It is also made clear that there is nothing on record that any appointment letter was given to the appellants after they tendered their joining report as required by specific condition that candidates should submit their joining report to the concerned Tehsildar and thereafter orders of appointment in the Tehsil will be issued. Admittedly, no such orders were issued by the Tehsildar. In the order dated 14th August, 1987 it is also stated that appointments were purely temporary and the services may be terminated at any time without any prior notice.

In this view of the matter, impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court does not call for interference. Hence this appeal is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

??..???????J.

(M.B. Shah)

?????????J.

(Dr. AR.Lakshmanan)

New Delhi,

August 26,2003