

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 8930 OF 2003

M/S. K.C. GOYAL AND CO. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Respondent(s)

O R D E R

For the reasons stated in Interlocutory Application No. 2 of 2011 for substitution and Interlocutory Application No. 3 of 2011 for condonation of delay in filing application for substitution, the applications are allowed.

2. Heard Mr. Sudhir Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the appellant.

3. Civil Appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.

.....J.  
( R.M. LODHA )

NEW DELHI; .....J.  
OCTOBER 11, 2012 ( ANIL R. DAVE )

ITEM NO.118 COURT NO.6 SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 8930 OF 2003

M/S. K.C. GOYAL AND CO. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution and c/delay in filing substitution appln.)

Date: 11/10/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Appellant(s) Mr. Sudhir Kumar Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)  
M/S Saharya & Co. (NOT PRESENT)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Interlocutory Application No. 2 of 2011 for substitution  
and Interlocutory Application No. 3 of 2011 for condonation of delay  
in filing application for substitution are allowed.

Civil Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

|(Rajesh Dham)  
|Court Master

| |(Renu Diwan)  
| |Court Master

|

(signed order is placed on the file)