

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).8811/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 23/01/2009 in RP No. 4913/2008 of  
The NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

CANADIAN 4 UR IMMIGRATION SER.& ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAKHWINDER SINGH Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report )

Date: 21/02/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. S.K. Sabharwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The Appeal is allowed.

( Rajesh Dham )  
Court Master

( Indu Satija )  
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1993 OF 2011  
(arising out of S.L.P. (Civil) No(s).8811 of 2009)

CANADIAN 4 UR IMMIGRATION SER. & ANR. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

LAKHWINDER SINGH Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Heard learned counsel for the appellants.

As per Office Report dated 10th February, 2011, show cause notice was issued to the sole respondent by courier. Courier receipt duly signed has been received from the sole respondent but no one has entered appearance.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned order of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (for short 'the National Commission') dated 23rd January, 2009 passed in Revision Petition No. 4913 of 2008.

A bare perusal of the impugned order of the National Commission shows that no reasons have been recorded therein. It is well settled that even an order of affirmance must contain reasons, even though in brief, vide Divisional Forest Officer V. Madhusudan Rao, JT 2008 (2) SC 253, vide para 19.

:1:

In the result, this Appeal is allowed. The impugned order of the National Commission is set aside and the matter is remanded back to the National Commission to decide the matter afresh in accordance with law after hearing the parties concerned and by giving reasons. No costs.

.....J.  
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.  
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
FEBRUARY 21, 2011.

:2: