

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.7

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 5664/2014

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DR. DURGA PRASAD ROHAN (D) TH. LRS.

Respondent(s)

(WITH IA No.101549/2017-APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION)

Date : 29-11-2017 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO

For Appellant(s)

Mr. A.K. Sanghi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. R. Balabubramanian, Adv.

Mr. M.P. Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.

Ms. Aarti Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Kr. Vishwakarma, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Amlan Kumar Ghosh, AOR

Mr. Binod Kumar Jha, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application for substitution of legal representative No. 1(ii), namely, Anil Rohan, of deceased respondent-Dr. Durga Prasad Rohan is allowed.

The appeal is dismissed.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Indu Kumari Pokhriyal]
Asstt. Registrar

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5664 OF 2014

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DR. DURGA PRASAD ROHAN (D)

TH. LRS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Application for substitution of legal representative No. 1(ii), namely Anil Rohan, of deceased respondent-Dr. Durga Prasad Rohan is allowed.

Having heard learned counsel and gone through the records of the case, we are of considered opinion that the appeal, being devoid of any merit, is liable to be dismissed and, is dismissed accordingly.

.....J.
[S.A. BOBDE]

.....J.
[L. NAGESWARA RAO]

NEW DELHI,
NOVEMBER 29, 2017.