

\$  
C.A.No. 6757 OF 2000

ITEM No.1

Court No. 7

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. No. 2 in  
Civil Appeal.No.6757/2000

MAN BAHADUR MAHTO

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR & ORS. Respondent (s)  
( With Appln(s). for clarification of the order dated 20.11.2000)

Date : 23/02/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN

For Appellant (s) Mr. Sujit Kr. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J  
.SP2

I.A. No. 2 for clarification is disposed of in terms of  
the signed order.

.SP1  
Charanjit [ R.K. Kumar ]  
Court Master

[ Signed order is placed on the file ]

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. No. 2 In@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAA  
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6757 OF 2000@@  
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

Man Bahadur Mahto ...Appellant

Versus

State of Bihar & Ors. ...Respondents

O R D E R

.SP2  
.....L.....I.....J

The last paragarh of order dated 20.11.2000 be read as follows :

" Therefore in the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that the learned Single Judge was not right in allowing the writ petition and the Division Bench was right in setting aside the said proceedings. The appeal is dismissed accordingly. No order as to costs."

I.A. No. 2 for clarification is disposed of accordingly.

.SP1  
.....J  
[ S. RAJENDRA BABU ]

.....J  
[ K.G. BALAKRISHNAN ]

New Delhi,  
February 23, 2001