

\1

ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.13

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 9693/2015
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04/02/2015
in FA No. 802/2014 passed by the High Court Of Bombay)

INPAC PROJECTS INTERNATIONAL PVT. LTD. AND ANR. Petitioner(s)
VERSUS

JAYPRAKASH RAGHUNATH JADHAV AND ANR. Respondent(s)
(With interim relief and office report)

Date : 25/07/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kailash Vasudev, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shriram P. Pingle, Adv.

Mr. Pratik Gaurav, Adv.

Mr. Chandan Tiwari, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Shikhar Garg, Adv.

Ms. Amita Singh Kalkal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The impugned order is interim in nature. It requires
the petitioners to deposit 75% of the decretal amount for
the purpose of stay of money decree. By the interim order
dated 10.04.2015, we have granted indulgence to the
petitioners and permitted them to deposit a sum of Rs.
15,00,000/- (Rupees fifteen lacs only) before the
executing Court and since that amount has been deposited
the execution proceedings has been stayed.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, the

2

interim arrangement noted above is made absolute. The
execution case shall remain stayed during the pendency of
the First Appeal because of deposit already made by
petitioners of a sum of Rs. 15,00,000/-. The Executing
Court should invest the deposited amount in an interest
bearing fixed deposit account of a nationalized Bank.

Parties will be at liberty to approach the concerned

Court for expeditious hearing of the appeal.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

(Madhu Bala)

(Madhu Narula)

Court Master

Court Master