

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 4576/2013

YADDANAPUDI MADHUSUDHANA RAO Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P. & ORS. Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for permission to file additional documents and office report)

WITH
SLP(Crl) No. 1539/2013
(With SLP(Crl) No. 10514/2013
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date : 13/02/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Amit K. Nain,Adv.
Mr. C. S. N. Mohan Rao,Adv.
Mr Suyodhan Byrapaneni, Adv.
Ms. Tatini Basu,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.
Mr R Santhnan Krishnan, Adv.
Mr Amit K Nain, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(CRL.) NO.4576/2013
Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Hema Joshi
Date: 2015.02.17
14:00:40 IST

Reason: Counter affidavit by all the 9 respondents is still
awaited. Be filed within four weeks as last opportunity.

Item No.15

SLP(CRL.) NO.1539/2013

Vakalatnama of respondent Nos. 1 to 7 has been filed,
however, the same is found to be defective. The ld.
Counsel has mentioned that the only defect pointed out is

that signature of respondent Nos. 2 to 7 are on the back page of the vakalatnama. However, registry to verify for the same.

With respect to respondent No.8, petitioner is still required to take fresh steps to effect service.

SLP(CRL.) NO.10514/2013

Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 9 has been filed. However, found defective. The ld. Counsel has mentioned that the only defect pointed out is that signature of respondent Nos. 3 to 9 are on the back page of the vakalatnama. However, registry to verify for the same.

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner is required to take fresh steps to effect service on respondent no.1.

List again on 1.4.2015.

(RACHNA GUPTA)
Registrar