

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No. 2430-2431/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 17/04/2001,23.3.2001 in CRLA 1094/01 & 826/2001 of the High Court of Bombay)

SHIVAJI ARVIND PAWAR

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

ARVIND MARUTI JADHAV AND ORS.

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment and exemption from filing O.T. )

Date : 27/07/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAIAK  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.C. BANERJEE

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. N.N. Keshwani,Adv.  
Mr. Ramlal Roy,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.  
.SP2

Complainant is the petitioner against an order of the High Court. By the impugned order, the High Court has entertained an application filed by the accused under section 482 Cr.P.C., and while the matter is pending before it, has passed an interim order staying further proceedings in the complaint case. This being an interim order, normally the Court does not interfere with the same. But because of tenacity of the counsel appearing for the petitioner we are forced to indicate some reasons as to why we do not entertain this petition at this stage. Be it be stated that a counsel

appearing for the petitioner even wanted an answer in course of his arguments, even without waiting what order is going to be passed. With respect, a counsel is not entitled to the same. Mr. Keshwani, the learned counsel raised as amny as four contentions for invoking the jurisdiction of this Court

