



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS.1509-1510 OF 2026
(@ SLP(CRL.) Nos.3195-3196/2026)

MUKESH RAMDAS BADWAIK & ANR.ETC. APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants apprehend their arrest in connection with FIR No.833/2025 dated 17.09.2025 registered at Police Station - Sitabuldi, District - Nagpur for the offences punishable under Sections 409, 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
3. Learned Senior counsel appearing for the appellants submit that all the accused persons in the present case have been granted anticipatory bail, apart from the accused No.1 who has been granted regular bail. The criminal antecedents in which the appellants are said to be involved are pertaining to trivial offences.

4. Learned counsel appearing for respondent-State while placing reliance upon the short notes filed, submitted that the fact that only the accused No.1 has been granted regular bail, and considering the criminal antecedents of the appellants, there is no need to interfere with the impugned order.
5. We have heard the learned Senior counsel appearing for the appellants and the learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State.
6. We find force in the submission of the learned Senior counsel appearing for the appellants that the previous offences in which the appellants are allegedly involved, are not serious in nature. Secondly, the chargesheet has already been filed in the instant case, and, therefore, there is no further requirement for custodial interrogation of the appellants, as admittedly, the investigation stands completed.
7. Considering the above position, we are inclined to set aside the impugned order passed by the High Court and grant anticipatory bail to the appellants.
8. Accordingly, the impugned order stands set

aside, and the appellants are granted anticipatory bail, subject to the terms and conditions that may be imposed by the concerned Trial Court.

9. The appeals are allowed, accordingly.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.
[NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH]

NEW DELHI;
19th MARCH, 2026

ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.5

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s). 3195-3196/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order
dated 09-02-2026 in CRLA(ABA) No. 761/2025 09-02-
2026 in CRLA(ABA) No. 762/2025 passed by the High
Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur]

MUKESH RAMDAS BADWAIK & ANR. ETC. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

IA No. 56613/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF
THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 56611/2026 -
EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 56607/2026 -
PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/
ANNEXURES

Date : 19-03-2026 This matter was called on for
hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P. B. Suresh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Parul Shukla, AOR
Ms. Shubhangi Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Pushpita Basak, Adv.
Ms. Diksha Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Geetika Khanchandani, Adv.
Ms. Vinsha Acharya, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Ira Mahajan, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made
the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SWETA BALODI) (POONAM VAID)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
(Signed order is placed on the file)